Task:

It is more important for schoolchildren to learn about local history than world history.

To what extent do you agree or disagree?

The majority of experts claim that studying domestic history is more beneficial than the that of global one. From my perspective both of them have equal effects in the individuals' life, so being familiar with them is a must for pupils in order to use historical experience in their routine life.

On the one hand, many people assert that local history plays a vital role in <u>a</u> nation's life style, so it is quite important for students to study their local history. Simply put, by learning local history individuals recognize their ancestors' mistakes. Mistakes which caused <u>either to lost them to lose either</u> their land, glory or freedom. Thus, studying local history creates a golden chance for schoolchildren to understand those human errors and try not to repeat them.

On the other hand, learning the world history have has many advantages. The first and foremost merit is that schoolchildren can understand and learn methods by which a nation have has become a strong one. However, another the other one lost its power and glory. For instance, studying European's history is a golden chance by which we distinguish that how Renaissance happened in Europe which is a bridge between the dark middle ages and modern history. A revolution which changeds the European life style and made make them to become became one of the most powerful nations in the world.

In conclusion, my personal conviction is that both local and world history are vital, for each of which can be a special real experience for new generations. In other words, the more historical knowledge, the less catastrophic mistakes.